

**SANTEE SCHOOL DISTRICT  
REGULAR MEETING  
OF THE BOARD OF EDUCATION**

March 3, 2009  
**MINUTES**

Douglas E. Giles  
Educational Resource Center  
9619 Cuyamaca Street  
Santee, California

**A. OPENING PROCEDURES**

1. Call to Order and Welcome

President El-Hajj called the meeting to order at 7:05 p.m. and read the District Mission Statement.

Members present:

Dianne El-Hajj, President  
Dustin Burns, Vice President  
Barbara Ryan, Clerk  
Allen Carlisle, Member  
Dan Bartholomew, Member

Administration present:

Dr. Lisbeth Johnson, Superintendent and Secretary to the Board  
Bill Clark, Assistant Superintendent, Business Services  
Minnie Malin, Director, Human Resources  
Linda Vail, Executive Assistant and Recording Secretary

Absent: Emily Andrade, Assistant Superintendent, Educational Services

2. President El-Hajj invited Administrative Secretary Kathy McKinnon to lead the members, staff and audience in the Pledge of Allegiance.

3. Approval of Agenda

It was moved and seconded to approve the agenda.

**Motion: Bartholomew Second: Ryan Vote: 5-0**

President El-Hajj reported that the Board hired a consultant to search nationwide for the best person to serve the district when Dr. Johnson retires. After thorough interviews, the Board selected Dr. Patrick Shaw for the position. The Board feels they conducted a very thorough search and there were very good candidates. In the end, Dr. Shaw was their choice for superintendent.

Dr. Johnson reported she met with Dr. Shaw last evening and he is very excited to be the next superintendent. His official first day of work will be July 1, 2009 and Dr. Shaw will be transitioning with Dr. Johnson during the interim. Dr. Johnson is pleased to have someone she knows will carry on the great things we are doing and will be communicating with him often during this transition.

**B. REPORTS AND PRESENTATIONS**

1. **Superintendent's Report**

- 1.1. Developer Fees Collection Report
- 1.2. Use of Facilities Report
- 1.3. Enrollment Report
- 1.4. Schedule of Upcoming Events
- 1.5. Schedule of School Open Houses and Spring Events
- 1.6. Retirement Announcement of Specific Long Term Employees

Dr. Johnson shared that three long term employees will be retiring this year: Connie Randall (40 years), Raydene Wolf-St.Clair (33 years), and Jim Busick (10 years).

2. **Spotlight: District Facilities Committee**

Bill Clark introduced the Facilities Committee members and reported this committee began meeting long before the Bond was passed. The Committee members were an integral part of the planning, organizing, and designing of the modernization. Their work has had a great impact on the classrooms and instructional environment, energy savings, the selection of the Director of Facilities, and selection of many of the contractors used in modernization and construction.

3. **Video Presentation of Cajon Park Junior High Dedication**

A video of the February 12, 2009 Cajon Park Junior High dedication was shown.

**C. PUBLIC COMMUNICATION**

President El-Hajj invited members of the audience to address the Board about any item not on the agenda. There were no public comments.

**D. CONSENT ITEMS**

Items listed under Consent are considered to be routine and are all acted on by the Board with one single motion. President El-Hajj invited comments from the public on any item listed under Consent.

- 1.1. **Approval of Minutes**
- 2.1. **Approval/Ratification of Travel Requests**
- 2.2. **Approval/Ratification of Revolving Cash Report**
- 2.3. **Acceptance of Donations**
- 2.4. **Approval of Agreement for Use of Facilities by the American Red Cross**
- 2.5. **Underground Storage Tanks- Claim**

- 3.1. **Approval of Comprehensive School Safety Plans**
- 3.2. **Approval of Operating Agreement with Home Instruction for Parents of Preschool Youngsters (HIPPY) U.S.A., Inc.**
- 3.3. **Approval of Memorandum of Understanding with California Healthy Kids Survey Regional Center**
- 4.1. **Personnel, Regular**
- 4.2. **Adoption of Resolution No. 0809-31 for Non-Reelection of Temporary Certificated Employees**

It was moved and seconded to approve Consent Items.

**Motion:** Burns                      **Second:** Carlisle                      **Vote:** 5-0

**E. DISCUSSION AND/OR ACTION ITEMS**

**1.1. Dedication Plaque for Cajon Park Junior High**

Dr. Johnson presented a sample 14 x 18 plaque as requested by the Board. She researched a number of other building dedication plaques and the general rule was the bronze plaques included the governance body and the Chief Executive Officer. Dr. Johnson said she would like the Chief Business Officer included because of his great involvement in the project.

She also talked to the principals at the Board's request, and they did not feel their names should be included on the bronze dedication plaques. The principals said there are other options to recognize the efforts of principals and others, such as recognition of the Foundation, could be incorporated on the interior of the building, maybe by an inscribed plaque or tile. The Foundation participated in a joint-use partnership with the District and a plaque in the Learning Resource Centers may be appropriate.

Dr. Johnson said the Board previously stated each site would have a dedication when their modernization was completed. At this time plaques should be ordered for Cajon Park, Carlton Hills, Carlton Oaks, and Rio Seco. There is a 12-week lead time to receive the plaques.

Dr. Johnson said in the case of Cajon Park, although there are grades 5-6 housed in the new building, it was built as a junior high building as promised in the bond language. Therefore she believes it should be designated as a junior high building and other ways can be found to designate the specific floor for grades 5-6. Dr. Johnson said Prospect Avenue and Sycamore Canyon should receive plaques for their Learning Resource Centers when they are completed.

Member Burns moved to approve the Superintendent's recommendation for the names on the bronze dedication plaques for Phase I new buildings, to include the date of the dedication. Member Burns said it would be good for the schools to be able to personalize tiles or plaques for other recognitions.

**Motion:** Burns                      **Second:** Bartholomew                      **Vote:** 5-0

**1.2. VI Program Services and Enrollment**

Dr. Johnson reported that Santee is a regional provider for the East County SELPA for the visually impaired (VI) program for K-8<sup>th</sup> grade. The nine East County districts that may have a VI child can work with parents and the IEP process to determine if a student will work with an itinerant teacher or attend the regional program in the resource center in Santee housed at Carlton Oaks.

Recently, because of declining enrollment, regional programs have been experiencing declining enrollment. La Mesa – Spring Valley has researched creating a more cost effective Deaf and Hard of Hearing (DHH) program where students will be with other similarly impaired children and mainstreamed. They will reduce the cost per student from \$20,000 to \$13,000 in 09-10 with a partnership they are forging with South Bay's Deaf and Hard of Hearing program

Our VI teachers are exceptional. The SELPA has also provided a lot of equipment for our resource room and program. Because of this, the Superintendent believes as long as we are the regional provider we need to continue to have the resource room. However, because of declining enrollment, the costs per child have also become exorbitant as we compare personnel services to reduced number of children we are serving in the resource room

As the District looks to the future, all Superintendents are looking at better ways to serve children. Santee currently has 5 children in the resource room program. Two receive their electives from the VI resource teacher and one of these children is being promoted to high school in June. The other students include 1 from Santee, 2 from Lakeside, and 1 from Lemon Grove.

Next year there is a possibility that one child may leave the program, another is going to be promoted to high school, and the districts of the other two children may look for other solutions because the cost is too high for the ratio of adults serving children. As enrollment declines, the cost per student dramatically increases. The Superintendent has discussed with the San Diego County Office of Education about developing partnerships with other entities in the County to see if we can recruit students.

Dr. Johnson provided three options for the Board to consider with all options including maintaining the resource room program.

- **Option I:** No reductions considered until 2010-2011, recruit students for the 2009-2010 school year, and if enrollment continues to decrease, parents are given the time to make future decisions about placement of their children.
- **Option II:** Reduce by 1 staff member and 1 instructional assistant in 2009-2010 using itinerant teachers to cover itinerant IEPs and the Resource Room services.
- **Option III:** Issue a lay off notice for 1 VI teacher and if there is an enrollment increase, the notice could be rescinded prior to 2009-2010.

Member Bartholomew said in light of the FCMAT study he prefers option 1 to serve the special education students. Member Carlisle agrees with option 1 and it would be best to maintain the existing situation.

President EL-Hajj asked if Option 1 would eliminate any flexibility. Dr. Johnson said if we reduced by one teacher now, we would still have the option to bring the teacher back if enrollment increased. The goal is to increase the student enrollment in the program enough to retain all staff.

Member Burns believes time is needed to increase student numbers and give families time to make decisions. Member Ryan said more time is needed and it should be given another year. In the meantime, the District can try to recruit more students into the program.

President El-Hajj asked where Santee's one student would go if Option 2 were considered. Dr. Johnson said the itinerant staff would readjust their schedule and as they have done in the past. They could with the reduction, maintain the program in the resource room and their itinerant caseload.

Member Burns moved to implement Option 1, to not reduce a teacher and work to recruit additional students into the program from other districts. Santee would bear the unfunded program cost in 2009-2010 of \$150,608 for 1 student, \$72,047 per student for two students, or \$45,857 per student for 3 students. The program will be reviewed and reassessed again for 2010-11.

**Motion:** Burns **Second:** Bartholomew **Vote:** 5-0

### 1.3. Recommended Reductions to Balance the Budget for 2008-09 and 2009-10

Dr. Johnson said it is sad that the District must look at reductions because of the State budget crisis cuts to education. President El-Hajj invited those who turned in speaker cards to address the Board.

Kathryn Pederson, a teacher at Pepper Drive School, expressed her concern for the children who need social worker services and the recommended reduction in the social worker positions.

Michelle Meyer, a teacher at Pepper Drive School, shared her concern about school counselor services being reduced and the needs of children not being met.

Barbara Olvera, a social worker/educational counselor, expressed her concern that if counselors are reduced, the children will not get services they need and teachers will have to spend time counseling students in their classrooms.

Allwyn Gazi, a teacher at Cajon Park School and STA president, invited the Board, administrators, and teachers to participate in a rally protesting the State's budget cuts to education on Friday, March 13<sup>th</sup> from 4-6 p.m. She also shared her concerns for the safety of students if office staff in the junior high building is reduced.

Lori Petchauer, a Cajon Park teacher, expressed concern about student safety if the health clerk position is eliminated. Teachers need support staff available to assist as needed. She is also concerned that the health clerk has been employed for 10 years and believes if her position is eliminated, she should be offered another position.

Larry Barbary, a Cajon Park teacher, knows that numbers are an issue at this time, but said having classified staff as well as teachers as part of the culture of Cajon Park is important to have those extra eyes.

Dr. Johnson said the May revise may be postponed to June 8<sup>th</sup> because legislators will be determining if additional budget cuts will be needed. Administration recommended reducing more than currently required to bridge for the unknown. There are a number of variables as we go into unknown times that indicate that we should be very cautious in reducing our operating costs to ride out these uncertain budget times.

Bill Clark reported on the proposed budget solutions. The County Office has taken a strong position on certain issues and is concerned about any efforts to issue debt. We need a balanced budget review by the County and then must develop a multi-year projection with a positive certification. The District also needs to address the short term cash flow position based on State deferrals. The County says not to count on the State money.

Mr. Clark said the Board's early action to freeze expenditures has resulted in savings of over \$700,000 and he recognized the efforts of staff to save dollars.

Member Bartholomew asked what the County says about GASB 45. Mr. Clark said districts are setting aside a smaller asset each year while paying the ongoing pay-as-you-go requirement.

On another item, Mr. Clark said that we must make reductions because many districts are going qualified and are not able to pay their debts. If the District was qualified, the Board would not have the authority to issue debt. Using hard money, as compared to uncertain funds like the stimulus package money in the original budget solutions proposed by administration, is a better way to move forward. Mr. Clark shared the unrestricted and restricted saving solutions.

The County has two main concerns about the District's budget: the impact by special education and the Class Size Reduction. They are requesting a detailed calculation on these items, which Mr. Clark is addressing. They would also like a breakdown of the speed of the savings and the use of reserves. Board members expressed their surprise that the County would wish to address their spending money the Board reserved over the required 3%.

Dr. Johnson, Mr. Clark, and Mrs. Malin presented administration's recommended reduction list. The list included:

- Health Clerk position at Cajon Park Junior High building. Students are now nearer the main campus.
- PIP Child assistants. Grant is exhausted for these grant funded positions but new grants are being sought.
- Clerk Typist II position (.5 FTE) in ERC. Funded by MAA which is being decreased.
- Attendance Specialist position. Expiring grant funded position but new funds are being sought through grants.
- Professional Development savings of \$72,000 carried over into 2009-10. This is not a reduction for next year
- Director of Instructional Technology, Coordinator of Instructional Technology, and a resource teacher. Restricted funds are exhausted.
- Vacancies created through attrition and reductions: Database Network Analyst, Technology Assistant, Personnel Technician, Bus Driver, Grounds Worker, Warehouse (.5 FTE), Assistant Mechanic (.5 FTE), Lead Warehouse Worker (.5 FTE), and Child Nutrition positions (1.5 FTE).

The total reductions recommended plus the budget solutions amounted to \$2.6M.

Member Ryan asked if the Clerk Typist II position was put in place to process the MAA paperwork and if reduced, who would be responsible for the MAA paperwork. Dr. Johnson said the MAA paperwork would be absorbed by other clerical personnel.

Member Carlisle asked why the social workers/educational counselors were not on the list. Dr. Johnson explained they are temporary employees, contracted for each trimester. There are two temporary social worker/educational counselors that will not be offered a contract for the next trimester. The funding and the need for these positions will be reviewed and the full complement can be replaced for next year.

Member Ryan requested Human Resources provide the Board with the names of temporary employees receiving non-reelect notices for the following year

Member Burns said reductions are always very difficult and he is glad to see that many are through retirement or vacated positions. He is concerned about the reduction of the health clerk position at Cajon Park Junior High. He believes the situation at Cajon Park is unique because they have had the office personnel in the past. He is concerned it may be a safety issue if the building is accessible and does not see it as a great savings. Before supporting this reduction, he would like to see a plan for student safety.

Dr. Johnson said the new junior high buildings at seven schools will be at about the same proximity from the main campus and administration is currently looking at the gate designs to be able to prevent access to the building during the school day. Safety can be achieved by locking the gates after the opening of school. If personnel in the office is also considered a way to keep the campus safe, the Board may need to look at all the other junior high buildings and add office personnel there .

Member Ryan expressed concern about what will be done with the other buildings and would like to see a plan for addressing the safety needs at all of the schools. Members Carlisle and Bartholomew agreed with the need for a safety plan for all schools.

President El-Hajj said all of the fences and gates need to be locked during the school day as is done in other districts. Dr. Johnson said she would return to the Board with a plan and a policy to address securing the campus during the school day.

Member Burns moved to approve administration's recommended program and personnel reductions and financial solutions to bridge mid-year and next year's reduced revenues, with the exception of the Health Clerk position at Cajon Park.

**Motion: Burns Second: Ryan Vote: 5-0**

#### **2.1. Solar Project Update and Capital Project Financing**

Bill Clark said seeking a solar solution has been a long path and he recognized the efforts provided by Borrego Solar, who began to assist the District in addressing solar. Unfortunately, the bond market precluded us from moving forward with them. Administration continued looking for a solution through other funding options. He introduced Art Castaneras from Manzana Energy who at a previous meeting presented a unique opportunity to address the desire of the district to implement solar. He brought his design and installation teams to address any questions or concerns the Board may have. Mr. Castaneras walked through some schools yesterday and believes there are ways Manzana can provide solar in an efficient and appealing way.

The structures Manzana uses are not carports but are specifically designed as solar structures. The structures can be placed throughout the campus to provide shade in eating areas, play areas, and on the fields. Manzana staff is very flexible on the placement of the structures. The limitation is not the length of the structures but the total square footage. They would be willing to do a trial school with an approved layout and if they meet all the benchmarks they could continue with the other schools.

Mr. Castaneras said it is difficult to finance solar projects at this time but the banks are willing and under the new stimulus package, the department of energy will back loans that are for solar. If the District were to finance the project a lot of tax benefits would be lost. Manzana provides the capital investment and profits through the incentives and tax benefits. He explained that schools, being closed several months of the year, have a unique use pattern where they can sell back energy to the power company. Manzana has a very refined business model specifically designed for a public entity such as a school district. They have just contracted for a project with San Ysidro School District and he assured the Board that San Ysidro's attorneys reviewed the details of the documents and that permits have been issued in that district to build the structures.

Mr. Castaneras explained there is no risk to the District because Manzana borrows the capital outlay of \$20M. If Manzana disappears, the bank will own the structures and have to find the solution to maintain the structures in order to get their payments. He believes Manzana has assembled a world class team of project designers and electrical installers.

President El-Hajj asked about the rebate or credit deadline to have a solar system up and running. Mr. Manzana said the criterion is to have a process started which is satisfied by entering into a contract by April 1, 2009. Signing a contract will meet the rebate deadline. It is important to meet this deadline because the credits are locked in at a high rate, almost double of those contracting now, and Manzana's payment schedule is based on this rate.

Member Carlisle asked what the criteria could be to withdraw after a pilot school was installed. Mr. Castaneras said Manzana would be willing to provide sole discretion after the first pilot, but could not guarantee coming back with additional contracts at a later date with the same price because they would need to reapply for the credits and they would be much lower.

A designer shared that Manzana Energy understands that schools do not want the solar structures to be noticeable. They have developed a DSA approved structure that is esthetically pleasing.

President El-Hajj said if she was thinking of a shade structure, she would not want them so long. She asked about using smaller structures and breaking up the solar structures so they are not as long. Manzana staff said the structures could be placed in shorter runs and throughout the campus. However, the more independent structures erected, the more electrical runs required.

Member Ryan asked about the possibility to use the existing overhangs. Manzana staff said they can possibly be used but must be facing the correct direction and there must be enough room for the tops to be slanted.

After walking sites, Mr. Castaneras believes he can accomplish the required load by placing some in the lunch areas, on the fields and on playgrounds. The structures would not be visible from the street or the parking lot.

Member Burns asked how soon they could have a site plans for each site. Mr. Castaneras said site plans could be provided within two weeks.

President El-Hajj acknowledged that some Board members feel that we have not been fair to a previous company. She understands but if this is another avenue that brings the same benefit without capital outlay by the District, the Board may be remiss in not moving forward.

Member Carlisle appreciated Manzana's willingness to meet with Board members. He said he cannot support moving forward with this proposal because he is concerned that this is not a model that is in the ground anywhere in California and there is only a contract awarded in South Bay. He is also concerned about how the District is working with our business consultants. He shared that Manzana has only visited two sites and the mechanics are unknown and do not feel quite right to him.

Member Bartholomew said there was a lot of discussion about site plans with Borrego and there was concern about placing structures in the quad areas based on some of the activities at the school sites. He would like to see site plans. He is still bothered that "it sounds too good to be true". There is some investment the district will need to make, and there seems to be some information they are unwilling to discuss in public with a public agency.

Mr. Castaneras said the financing mechanism is not a trade secret and is not unique. What is proprietary is the specific design to target specific use to get the maximum return on down days and use patterns to receive credits on the overproduction. It has to do with how the project is engineered to maximize the overproduction.

Member Burns would like to see the suggested structure placement at each school and would like the principals to have input. Mr. Castaneras said site designs will be similar as previously presented but some changes in site designs will be needed because Manzana does not place panels on the roofs. The only part that is different from other contractors is how the District pays for the project.

Member Carlisle asked Mr. Clark if he will be bringing an alternate proposal the District has received from another consultant to the Board.

Mr. Clark said the contract with Borrego was based on two approaches and has been left open, but there have been no results. He has looked at a number of vendors but they have not had a viable option to bring to the Board.

The Board members decided they would like to move forward and continue looking at Manzana's proposal with site plans brought to the March 17<sup>th</sup> meeting. Member Ryan said this proposal seems to be the only way the Board has seen that will enable them to explore solar for our campuses because it has minimal risk and no debt. In order to meet the credit deadline, site plans must come to the Board by March 17<sup>th</sup>.

Member Carlisle said the Borrego issues need to be settled and the Board should terminate any previous arrangements with consultants if their proposals are not working. He added that good communication with consultants is important and suggested administration meet with them to determine what their understanding is and tell them what our understanding is. He does not want to treat consultants poorly.

President El-Hajj shared with Manzana staff that they may be investing a lot of time and energy into something that may get turned down. The Board needs to see site plans and specifically what the contract would look like with opt out options. She asked Mr. Clark to have our attorneys to look at the contract before it comes to the Board.

Mr. Castaneras said two large law firms that work with districts throughout the State have reviewed the contract and he believes it is sound. A buy out schedule will also be provided to the Board. No action was taken.

## **2.2. State Budget Update and Impact on Facilities: Phase I and Phase II**

Bill Clark said the Board requested administration to look for bridge funding to continue with Phase 1 and Phase II of Modernization and Construction work while waiting for the State funding resources due to the District. Mr. Clark introduced Benjamin Dolinka to present an alternative funding proposal.

Mr. Dolinka said Santee is not alone in their need for funding. He is currently working with Murrieta who, like Santee, has GO bonds for a junior high and high school that are 70% complete and is waiting for their state funds of \$42M. The simplest solution for this bridge financing is a bond anticipation note. These notes have been around for several years and the legislature recently increased the flexibility for this kind of funding. Using bond anticipation notes will advance funds immediately. A note could be issued within 60 days scheduled to be repaid in about 5 years with no interest due until the repayment.

Member Burns asked about the interest rate. Mr. Dolinka said because they are short term notes the yield is in our favor at about 3% to 4% and the District must pay the bond insurance. A school district will need to be rated and there are other instruments that can be put into place to take costs down. They are currently working with some of the best lending institutions who have guaranteed \$1.5 billion for their school districts.

President El-Hajj asked if the term could be less than 5 years. Mr. Dolinka said they need do further analysis and plan to return on March 17<sup>th</sup> with figures and more specific data.

Member Carlisle asked if this is similar to a Certificates of Participation where we need bond counsel. Mr. Dolinka said yes, it is similar to a GO bond and bond counsel, disclosure counsel, and underwriting are needed. The cost of the money will be included in selling the bonds so the District will receive the entire amount of the bonds.

Member Burns believes it should be made known that the school district has to incur additional costs because the State is not providing money due to them for modernization.

Mr. Dolinka said the State owes the District between \$26M and \$30M. A structure can be put in place to advance those funds to use until the State can pay what they owe.

President El-Hajj asked if there could be one package to address the entire balance of all phases of the projects. Mr. Dolinka said the District needs \$45M to complete all phases and has some assets as potential revenue streams. He suggested the bidding process occur as quickly as possible because many districts passed bonds last November and will be seeking bridge financing.

President El-Hajj asked if we could do bond anticipation notes and capital lease revenue bonds at the same time. Mr. Dolinka said yes and it will not hurt your credit standing.

Bill Clark said it was anticipated to use \$2M in general funds to repay dept. Mr. Dolinka said there would be no payment needed and the District would not need to pledge general fund resources for this. Resources would need to be pledged on the lease revenues, another option for capital for construction. This will take staff assistance putting together the documentation. The Board will have the option to annually evaluate what the position of the State is, and determine if they want to find another funding source, such as going out to the voters to request a tax extension.

Member Carlisle asked if sources could be combined to save dollars on the issuance. Mr. Dolinka said we could use both. He will return on March 17<sup>th</sup> with further analysis for the Board. The Board approved moving forward with the analysis of these funding sources and requested Mr. Dolinka return with more information and analysis on March 17, 2009.

**2.3. Adoption of Resolution #0809-32 for the Issuance and Sale of Additional Tax and Revenue Anticipation Notes (TRANS), 2008-09**  
Mr. Clark reported the District may be running out of time to secure the County federal loan and because of the State deferral there could be cash flow problems as soon as March. He requested approval to issue a TRANS. A TRANS is considered short term debt and if needed, could make a difference in the money that Mr. Dolinka is working on. Mr. Clark said if the Board likes Mr. Dolinka's proposal, they may determine the TRANS is not needed. Member Ryan moved to adopt Resolution #0809-32 providing authorization to proceed with plans to issue an additional TRANS.

**Motion: Ryan Second: Burns Vote: 5-0**

**3.1. Adoption of Resolution No. 0809-30 for Reduction or Elimination of Certain Certificated Services**  
Minnie Malin shared that based on the current state budget reductions and certain program funding which has been exhausted, administration recommends the reduction of particular certificated services, including the Director of Instructional Technology, the Coordinator of Instructional Technology, and a resource teacher. The teacher can return to the classroom. Member Ryan moved to adopt resolution No. 0809-30 to reduce or eliminate certain certificated services.

**Motion: Ryan Second: Bartholomew Vote: 5-0**

**3.2. Adoption of Resolution No. 0809-33 for Reduction or Elimination of Certain Certificated Services for VI Services**

There was no action taken on this item.

**F. BOARD POLICIES AND BYLAWS**

**1.1 Second Reading: New & Revised Board Policies**

BP 3000 – 3600 (Business & Non-Instructional)

BP 7000 – 7310 (Facilities)

New and revised Board Policies and Bylaws in the 3000 and 7000 series were presented to the Board for a second reading.

Member Burns moved to adopt the new and revised Board Policies listed.

**Motion: Burns Second: Carlisle Vote: 5-0**

**G. BOARD COMMUNICATION**

President El-Hajj said Board representatives had a good meeting with the City Council representatives. They were very complimentary of the school district's modernization.

Board members wished to have a "meet and greet" reception for Dr. Shaw on April 3<sup>rd</sup> from 3:30 to 5:30 p.m. at Carlton Oaks Country Club. Dianne will write thank you notes to the other candidates.

The Board will tour the Phase I schools on March 14<sup>th</sup> beginning at 9:00 am.

Dr. Johnson will schedule a Budget Forum for employees and Board members will attend as available. They would like to have the Board represented if they are available.

**H. CLOSED SESSION**

President El-Hajj announced that the Board would meet in closed session for:

- 1. Public Employment Matters (Govt. Code § 54957) Superintendent**

The Board entered closed session at 10:21 p.m.

**I. RECONVENE TO PUBLIC SESSION**

The Board reconvened to public session at 11:16 p.m. No action was reported.

**J. ADJOURNMENT**

The March 3, 2009 regular meeting adjourned at 11:16 p.m.